G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1929.

(Misc 2)

File No. 677-19

Serial Nos. 1-4

Later references.

Misc 122-27-1-10

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Oct 21

SUBJECT.

Bajantri nee Hajam Post of Sri Ranga Anjaneyaswami in the Nagampatna Taluk

---

DC. Bangalore

No. 1956 Dy 19.10.2

Directs the Cancellation of the sales of ———

Chris

WD 1898—GPB—16,526—23-7-21

G. S. III-1]

1919.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muznai)

NOTES.

File No. G 77-19.

FILE HEADING.

"Bhajantri and Najani" post of Sri Kengal Anjaneya Swami in the Channapatna Taluk.

From

Vodalinga J. Dampanna, Channapatna Taluk

No. Petition Dated 12.6.20 Received 12.6.20

Diary No. 2115] Enclos. 1 Spare Copies. — [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

A Appeals against the Dy. Comrs. order confirming the auction sale of the said post for an annual sum of ~~Rs~~ 30/- and prays for a stay order pending disposal of his appeal. and complains that Court is not to the directions contained

NOTES AND ORDERS.

C In G.O. No. 382/522-13 Dy 15-1917, the bob Viz Temple Mundi and Nirana kanike realizations. ~~has~~ which is the profitable source of income, has not been put up to auction, and ~~that~~ his post has been put up to auction

NOTES—First Sheet.

806

G R. S. III 2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 677 of 191 8

Serial No.

1 The appeal petition is addressed to the member of Council, and has been received with the following order :- "..... the case will be worked up and submitted early for orders". The petition is duly stamped.

A The Sahukar ought communicating the My. Court's order of confirmation of the sale appealed against is dt 12-1-1920, and the appeal petition was received in this office on 12-4-20 It is in time.

3 Muz. P. No. 322-13 is put up.

4 On an appeal in August 1913 from the present aplt Vadaliyar against the My. Court's order sanctioning the auction-sale of the mudi and Kanike realizations of this temple, the case was heard by the present Dewan and his orders directing that this bob which cannot be hereditary in the aplts' family be put up to auction for 5 years, were issued in S.O. No. 202/Muz. 322-13-6 dt 1.8.1917. It was also directed that as Bhajantri

G

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

and Hajam of the temple, he may be paid a monthly Salary like the other servants for the duties he performs.

His present contention is that the temple Hazam and Bhajantri post which is his only source of his maintenance has been auctioned in favour of his opponent, that as directed in the first order, the Inam and Watan Kanike realizations which bring in larger income to the temple, have not been auctioned, and that there have been various irregularities (as detailed in the petition) in the auction sale of the post etc.

He prays that as a plenary suit the reasons connected with the case, he may be continued to do his duties of the post of Hajam and Bhajantri on fixed salary, that the Inam and Kanike realizations may be put to auction, and that an order may be issued directing the stay of the execution of the order appealed against pending disposal of his appeal.

Submitted for orders.

M. G. 20 24/2/22

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Enq. - File No. 677 of 1919.

Serial No.	
	A report may be called for. (Second Member) 24/4/20
6755-168 26-4-20	Yes. a report with records may be called for. Rec 5-5-20 — Draft 5/5/20
	Submit with a D/F 6.5.20 — Draft 7/5/20
2.	To Dy Comm. Bangalore No. 4039 Dt. 18-5-20. Forward the above petn. for report with records.
	No. 4040 — To petnr. Vedachiga — Informing him that the above petn. has been forwarded to the Dy Comm. Bangalore for report.
	D/F — Record — Submit — 7/10/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

5

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jmz. File No. 077 of 1919.

Serial No.	
1	To, My. Commr. Bangalore Dt. No. 1569 Dy. 11.1920. Forwards the letter & bills from the Secretary [illegible]
3	From, the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt. Letter no. 934 Dy 9-4-21. Submits report called for in Sl. 2.

(G.O. No. 2329 Dy 1.8.1919)

G.

1.) From Muz. D. no. 332-13, it is seen that on an appeal in 1913 from Vadaliga, the present Dewan then he was the member in charge of the Muzrai Portfolio, heard the petn and observed that "the fact of his (Vadaliga's) being the Bhajantri and Hajam of the temple does not necessarily entitle him to collect these (Mudi and Nasava) Kanikes for the temple and pay what he likes to the inst", that "he may be paid a monthly salary like the other servants of the temple for the duties he performs", that "the practice prevailing in other temples is to lease out such "Kanikes" for fixed periods and the same has been

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 677 of 19 19.

Serial No.

rightly followed in this case also and directed that "on the expiry of the present lease, the "bob" will be put up to public auction for a period of 5 years"

13 Vadaliga petitioned Govt. complaining that his Bhajantri and Hajam post which is his only source of income, has been auctioned in favor of his opponent, which has been confirmed by the Dy. Comr., that as ordered by Govt., the Mudi and Utsava Kanikes realizations which bring in largest revenue to the temple, have not been auctioned, and that there have been irregularities in the sale. He prayed that his post might be continued to him and the bob disposed of by public auction.

14 The Dy. Comr who was asked to report on the subject, has submitted his further report.

15 In the Dy. Comrs report, it is stated that the right of collecting "Mudi" and "Utsavam" Kanikes has

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Sold in public auction and one Pitta-Samy bot it for Rs 30/ per annum. This statement is not right, for it will be seen from the Haraz patti, and the deeds executed by Pitta Samy that the [illegible] (illegible) was sold, and the pet. complains that his post was not restored to him as per sale deed, and the right to Rudi and Nsana Kanike realizations which bring in larger revenue, have not been enchanced.

He further complains of various irregularities in the sale. He states that the Haraz was not duly notified, that he was not informed of it (by the Shirastdar) that it was conducted, before the temple in the middle of the town that the persons who bid at the sale were his opponent Dyavaiah and his 2 brothers Lingaiah and Pattasami, though there are a good number of Bhajantri people at the place, and that the sale

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 67 of 1918

Serial No

was Conducted in March 1918, and the records kept by in the Sub Div. Office till Sept. 1919 when the Sub Divl Officer approved it. He prays that the Sale bill have to be cancelled. etc.

A

2) Attention is respectfully invited to the Collr's Lng. reports dd 4th July 1920 which explains how the order of Govt was misunderstood by the local authorities. etc.

D

3) The Dy. Comrs proposes splitting up of the posts etc. which are not quite intelligible. It does not seem that the order of Govt has not been carried into effect.

Submitted
MJ
25.7.21

4) The Dy Comrs proposal that the Shajanthi Service may be paid at a fixed remuneration and the Mudi leased out seems to be quite feasible. The Mudi service has, as he says, been reasonably assessed, as to which his recom. may be called for. Perhaps it may be 0-1-0 per head.

BL 30.7.21

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Huz File No. 677 of 19 G.

Serial No

Why did the dasses pay for Bajanthi seruce? As regards Tmde, what is the practice in Nanjanad? 21/2/24

Bhajanthi who is also ex officio barber of the temple, is not given any pay for his services and the Amildar reports that he is given a fee or cooly of one anna for medi and one anna for Bhajanthi, (by Sivarathasars). Attention is respectfully invited to Amildar's Eng. Report Dt 7-7-19 20.

Huz. 2. no. 32-20 is submitted [illegible]

[illegible] 84 4824

In G. O no 232 dated 1-8-1917 the Joint Collector Mudi and Akkara Kayimkkal in the temple in Guruvayur was ordered to be put up to public auction after the expiry of the lease that was then current

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mez File No. 77 of 19 19.

Serial No

~~15~~ Sealing paper with the "Mudi", a person who goes to the temple & offers the hair on his head to the Deity has to take a Shave. What the Order contemplates is that a fee should be paid to the temple for every such Vow fulfilled. This does not refer to the fee that the barber has to pay to the barber for the personal act of doing the Shaving. Every devotee should pay a fee to the barber for the shaving and another fee to the temple for the fulfilment of the Vow. The Dy Builders report shows that what has been sold is the right of shave and not the right to collect the Kanikke. This was quite unregular and the sale should be declared void. Whether has for the right to discharge the duties of barber should be allowed to do so and as the right to collect the Kanikke dues to the temple should be sold. But before such right can be sold, the Amount payable as fee should be fixed; and this the Dy Commr should be asked to do

D

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mug File No. 677 of 19 1 .

Serial No

Even as regards "Ursan" no Scale of Fees has been prescribed and in the absence of such a Scale, the decrees cannot know what they have to pay and therefore the sale should properly have been conducted after a Scale was fixed.

The Sales may therefore be Cancelled and the Stamps duly are restored; and the Decrees may be requested to submit Scales for Multi & Ursan.

~~First Member~~) 23/8/24

If the Sale is to be Cancelled, the Purchaser will have to be heard but the matter is not of any importance

Second Member) 10/9/24

1813-223

12.9.24

I agree in the above. I do not think any hearing is necessary. If other candidates are the same the

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Miscl. File No. 677 of 1924.

Serial No.	
	present purchasers may be given the preference with respect to the pch lease.
	Rec. 14.9.24.
	Asst Secy 20/9/24
	Dy. Secy. Genl. Secy.
	21.9.24 Asst Secy 27/9/24
	Submitted with a fair copy—
	27.9.24
	Secy (Revenue) 27/9/24
2128-247 30/9/24	Sanction approved
	Rec. 2.10.24
	Under Secy
4	To The Dy. Comm. Bareilly G.O. No. 1956 dt. 19th September 1924. Directs the Cancellation of the Sales & Deposits to the Municipal Scales for Rents & Returns of Sweepers.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2118





535-245
13.4.20

12 H. Above 20

Forwarded with compliments to the Mysore Secretary. The case will be worked up & submitted for orders. Rest

13.4.20.

17.4.20

R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

7/h.30 2265

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .....

Section 25 / —

File No. 6221 - 14

Serial No. 2 /

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

( )

the *191*

No. 4037 Ecc Fin.

Date of issue..... 18.5.20

Signed by..... .....

To

The Dy Commr,

Bangalore Dist,

Sir,

Adverting to G.O. no. 2321 Fin. 322-13-6 dy 1-8-1917 Sanctioning the auction-sale of "Inam and Nitsana Kanike" realizations of Sri Kengal Hanumantha Jaya Swami Temple in the Channapatna Taluk, and Directing that the pats Vodabinga, Bhajantri and Hajam of the

over.

Temple ~~xxxxx~~ be paid a monthly
salary like these servants,
I am directed to forward herewith
for favour of an early report
with records, petition regd no.
2118 Dy 12.4.1920 from the said
individual appealing against
your order directing the auction-
sale of Bhajanmi and Nagara
post of the said Temple,
and complaining that contrary
to the directions contained
in the G.O. repd to, the Mudi
and Utsava Kanike
realizations of the last
bring in larger revenues
to the Temple, have not
been sold.

List of enclosures

I have etc.

Secy, Mercy Board

By order

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 26]

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....8.....

No. 15[illegible]

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

To

The Rly Commr,

Bangalore Dt 15

Date of issue .....

Sir,

Signed by.....

Adverting to the office letter no 40[illegible] dt 10th May 20 and the marginally noted reminders enquiring on the appeal petition of Vadivinger, Bhajantri and Bajantri, Sri Kengal Hanumantha Raya Swami in the Channapatna Tq, Ramanagar Dt, against the [illegible] dt 16th January 20 and [illegible] over.

No.	Dt
176	16.1.20
345	2..
572	11.6.20
1672	20.9.20

SUBJECT.

realization's were not used
as directed by his E. and
when the Bhajanlal and
Rajan post-mas put up
to auction, I am directed
to forward herewith another
petition regd. no 803 from
the said individual on the
subject and to request that
the report already called
for on the subject may be
submitted soon as possible.
This office has also sent many
the reminder

Dhanraj

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

GPB—20,000—10-10 14

1213

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore, 9th July 1921

No..... Mc 934 Land 19.20

From DIARY No. 95

M.A.Narayana Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

GEN & REV SECTT. 12 JUL 1921 SECTION Muz. FIL. No. 677-19 SERIAL No. 3

To

T.E.Jayarama Iyer, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.4039/Muz.677-19-2 dated 18th May 1920 re the auction sale of the 'Mudi' and 'Utsava' Kanike realizations of the Sri Kengal Hanumanthacaya Swami temple in the Chennapatna Taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Chennapatna Taluk reports that the right of collecting 'Mudi' and 'Utsavam' kanikes for a period of five years was sold in the public auction on 20th March 1918 and one Puttasamy got it for Rs.30/- per annum. The sale was confirmed by the Sub-Division officer.

2. In this connection it is necessary to point out that the devotees of the temple were not paying any separate 'Kanike' to the temple for the Mudi and 'Valaga' except a fee of one anna per head for the function Mudi(i.e.shaving) and one anna for Valaga. These items have necessarily to go to the person who actually performs the services. In respect of his having been allowed to perform these services, the person was paying to the temple annually a sum of Rs.10/- in cash and was also conducting certain Utsavam at a cost of Rs.15/-.

2. As per order of Government in appeal No.9 of 16-17 these 'bobs' were leased out for five years. As no separate Kanike is recovered, I think the Amildar and the Sub-Division officer have misunderstood the Govern-

ment

No 21-22

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To

The Secretary to Govt
Mysore Department

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Government order.

3. Under these circumstances I suggest as follows:- The 'Bajantri' service is a part and portion of the temple services and the hakdar officiator has to be paid certain fixed remuneration and he should perform the duties whenever required. The right of collecting certain fixed fees for this service from the devotees may be sold in public auction and the realisations credited to the temple funds.

4. As regards the 'Mudi', though it is one of the sevas offered to the deity, the right of taking 'Mudi'(i.e.shaving) is not a hereditory one. Such a right may as well be leased out by public auction and the proceeds credited to the temple. But in order to check the levy of abnormal charges that the contractor may recover under the cover of the contract, a reasonable fee has to be paid.

4. The entire Vernacular records are herewith submitted.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

M.K. [illegible]

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District.

Proceedings of the Govt. of H.H.

the Maharaja of Mysore.

- - - - - - - - -

Read.--

Government Proceedings No. 23[illegible]
323-1[illegible] dated 1st August 1917, sanctioning the auction sale of the Mudi and Utsava Kanike realisations of Sri Kempal Hanumanthappa Kalu temple in the Channapatna taluk and directing that the appellant, Kadalinga, Inamdar and Majdar of the temple, to paid a monthly salary like other servants, for the duties performed by him.

Petition, registered No. 211o dated 12t April 1920 from Vasudi (a) appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order directing the sale of the Pradhantri and Kaidam post of the temple instead of the Mudi and Utsava Kanike realisations.

Correspondence ending with letter No. 934 dated 9th July 1921 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, on the subject.

- - - - - -

Order No. 1956 Exercise Emycom 677-19-4

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th September 1921.

In Government Order dated 1st August 1917, the right to collect Mudi and Utsava Kanikes in the temple in question was ordered to be put up to public auction after the expiry of the lease that was then current.

A person who goes to the temple to offer the hair on his head to the deity

has to take a shave. What the order of August 1917 contemplates is that a fee should be paid to the temple for every such vow fulfilled. This does not refer to the fee that the devotee has to pay to the barber for giving him a shave. Every devotee ~~should pay~~ is expected & accustomary a fee to the barber for ~~the shaving~~ his services and another fee to the temple for the fulfilment of the vow. The records show that what has been sold is the right to shave, and not the right to collect the kanike.

This was quite irregular and Government therefore direct that the sale be set aside. Whoever has got the right to discharge the duties of barber should be allowed to do so and only the right to recover the kanike due to the temple should be sold. But before such a right can be sold, the amount payable as fee, should be fixed.

Even as regards "Utsava" no scale of fees has been prescribed, and in the absence of such a scale, the devotees cannot know what they have to pay. No sale should therefore have been conducted before a scale for the several utsavams in the temple was fixed.

The Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District returning the records

Government therefore direct that the sales be cancelled and the status quo ante estored. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to submit for sanction rates for mudi and utsava. If other conditions are the same, the present purchaser may be given the preference with respect to the fresh lease of collecting mudi and utsava kanike realizations.

Secretary to Government
Mazrai Department.

Issue Printed 27/9/17

Recd 30.10.17

11/2/21

మెహబూబ్ నగర్ జిల్లా మహబూబ్ నగర్ మున్సిపాలిటీ
ఇలాఖా నివాసి, చేరి నాంపల్లి బార్ అసోసియేషన్
ఆ గజిటెడ్ గుమస్తా

Mr. D
677-19-4
is Subd
The present
petnr. is the
applicant in
this case Copy
applied for
may be granted
to him if so
directed

రేస్ కోర్సు రోడ్ నెం. 1 లో ఉన్న ఇంటి నుండి ఎర్రమంజిల్ లోని
ప్రభుత్వ సెక్రటేరియట్ వరకు ప్రయాణించడానికి
ఫస్ట్ క్లాస్ రైల్వే పాస్ కోసం దరఖాస్తు

ఈ నెల 11వ తేదీ నుండి ఒక సంవత్సరం పాటు
ఫస్ట్ క్లాస్ రైల్వే పాస్ మంజూరు చేయవలసిందిగా
కోరుతూ ఈ దరఖాస్తును సమర్పిస్తున్నాను.
నా నివాసం నుండి సెక్రటేరియట్ వరకు
ప్రయాణించడానికి ఫస్ట్ క్లాస్ రైల్వే పాస్
అవసరమని ధృవీకరిస్తూ, నా ఉద్యోగ
సంబంధిత ప్రయాణాల నిమిత్తం ఈ పాస్
అవసరమని నా సూపరింటెండెంట్ ధృవీకరించారు.
నా ఉద్యోగ వివరాలు, నివాస చిరునామా
మరియు ప్రయాణ మార్గం వివరాలను
ఈ దరఖాస్తులో పొందుపరిచాను.
ఈ పాస్ ను నిబంధనల ప్రకారం
మంజూరు చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుతున్నాను.

Copy prepared
and delivered
MK
2/11/21

మోతీలాల్ గంగాధర్
11.2.21